arXiv:1211.2219v1 [math.AP] 9 Nov 2012

REGULARITY OF THE FREE BOUNDARY IN A NONLOCAL ONE-DIMENSIONAL PARABOLIC FREE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

ROSSITZA SEMERDJIEVA

Abstract. We consider one-dimensional parabolic free boundary value problem with a non-local (integro-differential) condition on the free boundary. Results on C^m-regularity of the free boundary are obtained. In particular, a necessary and sufficient condition for infinite differentiability of the free boundary is given.

Keywords: free boundary problem, parabolic equation, mixed type boundary conditions, regularity, non-local condition

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 35R35, 35K10, 35B65

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the regularity properties of the free boundary in the following one-dimensional parabolic free boundary value problem.

Problem P. Find $s(t) > 0$ and $u(x,t)$ such that

$$u_t = u_{xx} - \lambda\, u, \quad \lambda = const > 0, \quad 0 < x < s(t), \ \ t > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0,t) = f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(x,0) = \varphi(x), \ \ x \in [0,b], \ \ s(0) = b > 0, \quad \varphi(0) = f(0), \tag{1.3}$$

$$u_x(s(t),t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$s'(t) = \int_0^{s(t)} u(x,t)dx, \quad t > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Notice that (1.2)–(1.4) are mixed type boundary conditions for the parabolic equation (1.1), and (1.5) is an integro-differential condition on the free boundary $x = s(t)$.

Our goal is to examine the relationship between the smoothness of the functions $f(t)$ and $s(t)$, and to show the essential impact of the nonlocal character of Condition (1.5) on the regularity properties of the free boundary. As in [9], our approach is based on the theory of anisotropic Hölder spaces.

In Section 2 we estimate from below the Hölder and C^m- smoothness of the free boundary. In Theorem 2.1, we prove that if $f(t)$ has continuous derivatives up to order m on $(0,T]$, $T>0$, then $s(t)$ has continuous derivatives up to order $m+1$ on $(0,T]$. Therefore, if $f(t)$ is infinitely differentiable on $(0,\infty)$, it follows that $s(t)$ is infinitely differentiable on $(0,\infty)$ as well.

However, it turns out that $s(t)$ may not have derivatives of order higher than two if we assume $f(t)\in C^1([0,\infty))$ only (see Section 3, where we estimate the smoothness of $s(t)$ from above). More generally, in Theorem 3.3 we prove that if $s(t)$ has on $(0,T]$ continuous derivatives up to order $m+2$ then $f(t)$ has continuous derivatives up to order m on $(0,T]$. Therefore, if $f(t)$ is not infinitely differentiable on $(0,\infty)$ then the free boundary is not infinitely differentiable curve.

This is in a striking contrast with the case of well known one-dimensional Stefan problem (see [5, Ch. 8], [8], [7], [1, Ch. 17]), where the infinite differentiability of the free boundary does not require infinite differentiability of the boundary data at $x=0$ (see [2], [3], [4], [9]). In our Problem P, because of the nonlocal character of Condition (1.5), the smoothness of the free boundary is essentially related to the smoothness of $f(t)$, namely the free boundary is an infinitely differentiable curve if and only if the function $f(t)$ is infinitely differentiable.

2. Lower bounds for the smoothness of the free boundary

Results on global existence and uniqueness of classical solutions of Problem P are obtained in [12, Theorem 1.1] (see also [10], [11]). More precisely, the following holds.

Global solvability of Problem P : Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} f(t)\in C^1([0,\infty)),\quad \varphi(x)\in C^2([0,b]),\quad f(0)=\varphi(0),\\ f'(0)=\varphi''(0)-\lambda\varphi(0),\qquad \varphi'(b)=0. \end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

Then there exists a unique pair of functions $u(x,t)$ and $s(t)$ such that
(i) $u(x,t)$ is defined, continuous and has continuous partial derivatives u_x, u_t, u_{xx} in the domain $\{(x,t):\ 0\le x\le s(t),\ t\ge 0\}$;
(ii) $s(t)\in C^1([0,\infty))$, $s(t)>0$ for $t\ge 0$;
(iii) the conditions (1.1)–(1.5) hold.

Let the pair of functions $(u(x,t),s(t))$ be a classical solution of Problem P satisfying (i)-(iii). It is easy to see that $s(t)\in C^2([0,\infty))$. Indeed, since $u_t(x,t)$ is defined and continuous for $0\le x\le s(t)$, $t>0$, from (1.5) it follows

$$s''(t)=u(s(t),t)\cdot s'(t)+\int_0^{s(t)}u_t(x,t)dx.$$

Therefore, taking into account that

$$\int_0^{s(t)}u_t(x,t)dx=\int_0^{s(t)}(u_{xx}(x,t)-\lambda u(x,t))dx=u_x(s(t),t)-u_x(0,t)-\lambda s'(t),$$

and using (1.4) we obtain

$$s''(t)=(u(s(t),t)-\lambda)\,s'(t)-u_x(0,t),\tag{2.2}$$

where the expression on the right is a continuous function for $t \geq 0$, i.e., $s(t) \in C^2([0,\infty))$.

In this section, our main result is the following statement.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose the pair of functions* $(u(x,t), s(t))$ *is a classical solution of Problem P satisfying (i)-(iii). If* $f(t) \in C^m((0,T])$, *where* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$ *and* $T = const > 0$, *then* $s(t) \in C^{m+1}((0,T])$.

In particular, if $f(t) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$, *then* $s(t) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$.

In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we need some preliminary results. First we use the change of variables $\xi = \frac{x}{s(t)}$, $t = t$ to transform (1) to an equation in a cylindrical domain by setting

$$v(\xi,t) = u(\xi s(t), t), \quad Q = \{(\xi,t) : 0 < \xi < 1,\ t > 0\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then, in view of (i)–(iii), it follows that v, v_ξ, v_t, $v_{\xi\xi} \in C(\overline{Q})$ and

$$Lv := v_t - \frac{1}{s^2} v_{\xi\xi} - \frac{\xi s'}{s} v_\xi - \lambda v = 0 \quad \text{for } (\xi,t) \in Q, \tag{2.4}$$

$$v(0,t) = f(t), \quad v(\xi,0) = \varphi(\xi s(0)), \quad v_\xi(1,t) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2) we obtain

$$s''(t) = (v(1,t) - \lambda)\, s'(t) - \frac{1}{s(t)} v_\xi(0,t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.6}$$

For convenience, we set

$$Q^{\varepsilon,T}_{\delta_1,\delta_2} = \{(\xi,t) : \delta_1 < \xi < \delta_2,\ \varepsilon < t < T\}. \tag{2.7}$$

In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we are going to estimate the Hölder smoothness of $v(\xi,t)$ and $s(t)$ in terms of the Hölder smoothness of $f(t)$. To this end we use anisotropic Hölder spaces $H^{m+\ell,\frac{m+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\varepsilon,T}_{\delta_1,\delta_2}}\right)$, where $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\ell \in (0,1)$.

Recall that $H^{m+\ell,\frac{m+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{G}\right)$ is the Banach space of all functions $v(\xi,t)$ that are continuous on $\overline{G}$ together with all derivatives of the form $D^k_\xi D^r_t v$ for $k + 2r \leq m$ and have a finite norm

$$\|v\|^{(m+l)}_G = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k+2r=j} \left| D^k_\xi D^r_t v \right|^{(0)} + \sum_{k+2r=m} \langle D^k_\xi D^r_t v \rangle^{(\ell)}_{\xi,G}$$

$$+ \sum_{k+2r=m} \langle D^k_\xi D^r_t v \rangle^{(\ell/2)}_{t,G} + \sum_{k+2r=m-1} \langle D^k_\xi D^r_t v \rangle^{\left(\frac{1+\ell}{2}\right)}_{t,G},$$

where G is a bounded rectangular domain, $\langle v \rangle^\ell_{\xi,G}$ and $\langle v \rangle^\ell_{t,G}$ are the Hölder constants of a function $v(\xi,t)$ in ξ and t respectively in the domain $\overline{G}$ with the exponent ℓ, $\ell \in (0,1)$, and $|D^k_\xi D^r_t v|^{(0)} = \max_{\overline{G}} |D^k_\xi D^r_t v|$. For more details about the corresponding definitions and notations we refer to the book [6, Intr., p. 7].

In the following the functions of one variable t are regarded as functions of two variables x and t.

Proposition 2.2. *(a) For every* $T > 0$, $\ell \in (0,1)$ *we have*

$$v(\xi,t) \in H^{1+\ell,\frac{1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{0,T}}\right). \tag{2.8}$$

(b) If $f(t) \in H^{m+\ell,\frac{m+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right)$, *where* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, $\ell \in (0,1)$ *and* $T > \varepsilon > 0$, *then*

$$v(\xi,t) \in H^{m+\ell,\frac{m+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T), \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$s(t) \in H^{m+3+\ell,\frac{m+3+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T). \tag{2.10}$$

The following lemma helps to make the inductive step in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\varepsilon > 0$, $\ell \in (0,1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+\ell,\frac{k+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right) \tag{2.11}$$

and $w_{\xi\xi}$ *exists in* $Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}$ *in the case* $k = 1$, *and let* $w(\xi,t)$ *satisfy the equation*

$$\tilde{L}w := w_t - a(\xi,t)w_{\xi\xi} - b(\xi,t)w_\xi - c(\xi,t)w = F(\xi,t), \quad (\xi,t) \in Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$a, b, c, F \in H^{k-1+\ell,\frac{k-1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \quad a(\xi,t) \geq const > 0. \tag{2.13}$$

If

$$w(0,t) = f(t), \quad w_\xi(1,t) = g(t), \quad \varepsilon \leq t \leq T, \tag{2.14}$$

with

$$f(t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \quad g(t) \in H^{k+\ell,\frac{k+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \tag{2.15}$$

then

$$w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T). \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. Fix $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T)$ and choose arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 \in (\varepsilon, \tilde{\varepsilon})$ and $\delta \in (1/2, 1)$. Consider a function $\psi(\xi,t)$ of the form $\psi(\xi,t) = \psi_1(\xi)\,\psi_2(t)$, where $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq \psi_1(\xi), \psi_2(t) \leq 1$ and

$$\psi_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi \leq 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi \geq \delta \end{cases}, \qquad \psi_2(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \geq \tilde{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & \text{if } t \leq \varepsilon_1 \end{cases}. \tag{2.17}$$

Then the function $w_1(\xi,t) = w(\xi,t)\,\psi(\xi,t)$ is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\tilde{L}w_1 = F_1(\xi,t), \quad (\xi,t) \in Q_{0,\delta}^{\varepsilon,T}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$w_1(0,t) = f(t)\psi_2(t), \quad w_1(\delta,t) = 0, \;\; \varepsilon \le t \le T, \tag{2.19}$$

$$w_1(\xi,\varepsilon) = 0, \quad 0 \le \xi \le \delta, \tag{2.20}$$

where

$$F_1(\xi,t) = F\,\psi + w\,\left(\psi_t - a\psi_{\xi\xi} - b\psi_\xi\right) - 2aw_\xi\psi_\xi. \tag{2.21}$$

In view of (2.11) and (2.13), it follows that $F_1(\xi,t) \in H^{k-1+\ell,\frac{k-1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\varepsilon,T}_{0,\delta}}\right)$. Therefore, applying Theorem 5.2 of [6, Ch.4], we conclude that Problem (2.18)–(2.20) has a unique solution

$$w_1(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\varepsilon,T}_{0,\delta}}\right).$$

Thus, taking into account the construction of the function $\psi(\xi,t)$, we obtain

$$w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\tilde\varepsilon,T}_{0,\frac{1}{2}}}\right). \tag{2.22}$$

Next, we fix $\tilde\delta \in (0,1/2)$ and choose, for arbitrary $\delta_1 \in (\tilde\delta,1/2)$, a function $\tilde\psi_1(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$0 \le \tilde\psi_1(\xi) \le 1, \quad \tilde\psi_1(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi \ge 1/2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \xi \le \delta_1. \end{cases}$$

Now we set $\tilde\psi(\xi,t) = \tilde\psi_1(\xi)\,\psi_2(t)$, where $\psi_2(t)$ is given by (2.17). Then the function $w_2(\xi,t) = w(\xi,t)\,\tilde\psi(\xi,t)$ is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\tilde L w_2 = F_2(\xi,t), \quad (\xi,t) \in Q^{\varepsilon,T}_{\tilde\delta,1}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\partial_\xi w_2\,(\tilde\delta,t) = 0, \quad \partial_\xi w_2\,(1,t) = g(t)\psi_2(t), \;\; \varepsilon \le t \le T, \tag{2.24}$$

$$w_2(\xi,\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \tilde\delta \le \xi \le 1, \tag{2.25}$$

where

$$F_2(\xi,t) = F\,\tilde\psi + w\,\left(\tilde\psi_t - a\tilde\psi_{\xi\xi} - b\tilde\psi_\xi\right) - 2aw_\xi\tilde\psi_\xi. \tag{2.26}$$

From (2.11) and (2.13) it follows that $F_2(\xi,t) \in H^{k-1+\ell,\frac{k-1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\varepsilon,T}_{\tilde\delta,1}}\right)$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.3 of [6, Ch.4], Problem (2.23)–(2.25) has a unique solution

$$w_2(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\varepsilon,T}_{\tilde\delta,1}}\right).$$

Now, taking into account the construction of the function $\tilde\psi(\xi,t)$, we obtain

$$w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\tilde\varepsilon,T}_{\frac{1}{2},1}}\right). \tag{2.27}$$

Finally, (2.22) and (2.27) imply that $w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q^{\tilde\varepsilon,T}_{0,1}}\right)$, which completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Since $v(\xi,t) \in C^{2,1}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{0,T}}\right)$, we have that v, v_ξ, $v_{\xi\xi}$, $v_t \in L^q\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{0,T}}\right)$ for every $q>1$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3 of [6, Ch. 2] it follows that $v_\xi(\xi,t)$ is Hölder continuous in t with exponent $1-3/q$ for every $q>3$. Hence (2.8) holds.

We prove the assertion (b) by induction in m. Let $m=2$; suppose that

$$f(t) \in H^{2+\ell,\frac{2+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \quad \ell \in (0,1).$$

From (2.8) and (2.6) it follows that

$$s(t) \in H^{4+\ell,\frac{4+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \quad \ell \in (0,1).$$

Now it is easy see that the coefficients of the operator L in (2.4) satisfy the assumption (2.13) in Lemma 2.3 for $k=1$. Therefore, applying Lemma 2.3 in the case $k=1$ to the Problem (2.4)–(2.5), we obtain that

$$v(\xi,t) \in H^{2+\ell,\frac{2+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T).$$

Then, in view of (2.6), we conclude that

$$s(t) \in H^{5+\ell,\frac{5+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T).$$

Hence, (2.9) and (2.10) hold for $m=2$, i.e., the assertion (b) holds for $m=2$.

Assume that (b) holds for some $m \geq 2$; we shall prove that (b) holds for $m+1$. Let $f(t) \in H^{m+1+\ell,\frac{m+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right)$. Then from the inductive hypothesis it follows that (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Therefore, the coefficients of the operator L in (2.4) satisfy (2.13) with $k=m$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3 we conclude that $v(\xi,t) \in H^{m+1+\ell,\frac{m+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right)$ $\forall\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T)$, i.e., (2.9) holds for $m+1$. Now, in view of (2.6), we obtain that $s(t) \in H^{m+4+\ell,\frac{m+4+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right)$, $\forall\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T)$. Hence, (2.10) holds for $m+1$ as well. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. If $f(t) \in C^m((0,T])$ for some $m>1$, then

$$f(t) \in H^{2m-1+\ell,\frac{2m-1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right) \quad \forall\varepsilon \in (0,T),\ \forall\ell \in (0,1).$$

Now, for every fixed $\varepsilon>0$, Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$s(t) \in H^{2m+2+\ell,\frac{2m+2+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T).$$

Thus, it follows that $s(t) \in C^{m+1}((0,T])$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

3. Upper bounds for the smoothness of $s(t)$

Now we are going to explain that the smoothness of $s(t)$ (in terms of Hölder scale) is bounded above by the smoothness of $f(t)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $v(\xi,t)$ be the function defined by (2.3) (and satisfying (2.4)–(2.6)). Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > \varepsilon$ the following implication holds:*

(3.1)

$$s(t) \in H^{m+4+\ell,\frac{m+4+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right) \Longrightarrow v(\xi,t) \in H^{m+1+\ell,\frac{m+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T).$$

In the proof of Proposition 3.1 we need the following statement.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\ell \in (0,1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+\ell,\frac{k+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right) \tag{3.2}$$

and $w_{\xi\xi}$ exists in $Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}$ in the case $k=1$, and let $w(\xi,t)$ satisfy the equation

$$\tilde{L}w := w_t - a(\xi,t)w_{\xi\xi} - b(\xi,t)w_\xi - c(\xi,t)w = F(\xi,t), \quad (\xi,t) \in Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$a,b,c,F \in H^{k-1+\ell,\frac{k-1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \quad a(\xi,t) \geq const > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

If

$$w_\xi(0,t) = h(t), \quad w_\xi(1,t) = g(t), \quad \varepsilon \leq t \leq T, \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$h(t) \in H^{k+\ell,\frac{k+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \quad g(t) \in H^{k+\ell,\frac{k+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right), \tag{3.6}$$

then

$$w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. The proof of this statement is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3. Indeed, let $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T)$; choose $\varepsilon_1 \in (\varepsilon,\tilde{\varepsilon})$ and $\hat{\psi}(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi}(t) = 1$ for $t \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\psi}(t) = 0$ for $t \leq \varepsilon_1$. Set $\tilde{w}(\xi,t) = w(\xi,t)\cdot\hat{\psi}(t)$; then the function $\tilde{w}(\xi,t)$ is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{w} = \tilde{F}, \quad \tilde{F}(\xi,t) = F(\xi,t)\cdot\hat{\psi}(t) + w(\xi,t)\hat{\psi}'(t), \quad (\xi,t) \in Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T},$$

$$\tilde{w}_\xi(0,t) = h(t)\hat{\psi}(t), \quad \tilde{w}_\xi(1,t) = g(t)\hat{\psi}(t), \quad \tilde{w}(\xi,0) = 0.$$

From (3.2) and (3.4) it follows that $\tilde{F}(\xi,t) \in H^{k-1+\ell,\frac{k-1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right)$. Now, by Theorem 5.3 of [6, Ch.4], we conclude that the above boundary value problem has a unique solution

$$\tilde{w}(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right).$$

Thus, taking into account that $\hat{\psi}(t) = 1$ for $t \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}$, we obtain that $w(\xi,t) \in H^{k+1+\ell,\frac{k+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right)$. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete. □

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We prove the claim by induction in m.

Let $m = 1$; then we assume that $s(t) \in H^{5+\ell, \frac{5+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right)$ and prove that $v(\xi,t) \in H^{2+\ell,\frac{2+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right)$ $\forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T)$. Indeed, in view of (2.5) and (2.6), the function $v(\xi,t)$ satisfies the boundary conditions

$$v_\xi(0,t) = h(t), \quad v_\xi(1,t) = 0, \quad \varepsilon \le t \le T, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$h(t) := s(t)\ \left[(v(1,t) - \lambda)s'(t) - s''(t)\right]. \tag{3.9}$$

From the above assumptions on $s(t)$, and from the assertion (a) in Proposition 2.2, it follows that

$$h(t) \in H^{1+\ell,\frac{1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right).$$

Moreover, since $s'(t) \in H^{3+\ell,\frac{3+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right)$, we obtain in view of (2.4) that the coefficients of the operator L belong to the space $H^{3+\ell,\frac{3+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right)$.

Therefore, applying Lemma 3.2 in the case when $k = 1$, $\tilde{L} = L$, $w = v$ and boundary conditions given by (3.8), we conclude that

$$v(\xi,t) \in H^{2+\ell,\frac{2+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T),$$

i.e., (3.1) holds for $m = 1$.

Assume that the assertion holds for some $m \ge 1$. We will prove that (3.1) holds for $m + 1$. Suppose

$$s(t) \in H^{m+5+\ell,\frac{m+5+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right).$$

Then from the inductive hypothesis it follows that

$$v(\xi,t) \in H^{m+1+\ell,\frac{m+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T).$$

Therefore, in view of (3.9) one can easily see that

$$h(t) \in H^{m+1+\ell,\frac{m+1+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T).$$

Hence, applying Lemma 3.2 in the case $k = m + 1$ we conclude that

$$v(\xi,t) \in H^{m+2+\ell,\frac{m+2+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon, T),$$

i.e., (3.1) holds for $m + 1$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose the pair of functions $(u(x,t), s(t))$ is a classical solution of Problem P satisfying (i)-(iii). If $s(t) \in C^{m+2}((0,T])$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 0$, then $f(t) \in C^m((0,T])$.*

Moreover, if $s(t) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$, then $f(t) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$.

Proof. Suppose $s(t) \in C^{m+2}((0,T])$; then

$$s(t) \in H^{2m+3+\ell, \frac{2m+3+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\varepsilon,T}}\right) \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0,T).$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.1 we obtain that

$$v(\xi,t) \in H^{2m+\ell, \frac{2m+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T).$$

Since $f(t) = v(0,t)$, it follows that

$$f(t) \in H^{2m+\ell, \frac{2m+\ell}{2}}\left(\overline{Q_{0,1}^{\tilde{\varepsilon},T}}\right) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T);$$

thus $f(t) \in C^m([\tilde{\varepsilon},T]) \quad \forall \tilde{\varepsilon} \in (\varepsilon,T),\ 0 < \varepsilon < T$, which implies that $f(t) \in C^m((0,T])$. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete. □

Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.3 prove, respectively, that the condition $f(t) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$ is necessary and sufficient for $s(t) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$. In other words, the following holds.

Corollary 3.4. *In Problem P, the free boundary* $x = s(t),\ t \in (0,\infty)$ *is an infinitely differentiable curve if and only if* $f(t) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$.

References

[1] J. R. Cannon, The one-dimensional heat equation, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 23, Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, 1984.

[2] J. R. Cannon and C. D. Hill, On the infinite differentiability of the free boundary in a Stefan problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **22** (1968), 385–397.

[3] J. R. Cannon and M. Primicerio, A two phase Stefan problem: regularity of the free boundary, Ann. Mat. Pure. Appl. **88** (1971), 217–228.

[4] Chiang Li-Shang, Existence and differentiability of the solution of the two-phase Stefan problem for quasilinear parabolic equations, Chinese Math.–Acta. **7** (1965), 481–496.

[5] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type, Prentice–Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.

[6] O. A. Ladyzhenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Ural'ceva, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.

[7] M. Primicerio, Diffusion problems with a free boundary (Italian), Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (5) **18** (1981), no. 1, 11–68.

[8] L. I. Rubinstein, The Stefan problem. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 27. AMS, Providence, R.I., 1971.

[9] D. Schaeffer, A new proof of infinite differentiability of the free boundary in the Stefan problem, J. Diff. Equat. **20** (1976), 266–269.

[10] R. I. Semerdjieva, Mathematical model for the growth of tumors with free boundary surface, Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **61** (2008), 1509–1516.

[11] R. I. Semerdjieva, An Existence and Uniqueness Theorem for a free boundary value problem modeling tumor growth, Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **62**, (2009), 167–174.

[12] R. I. Semerdjieva, Global existence of classical solutions for a nonlocal one dimensional parabolic free boundary problem, arXiv:1211.0894.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail address: `rsemerdjieva@yahoo.com, rossitza@math.bas.bg`